

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/536,801 | 12/09/2005 | Graham R Eastham | 31229-218465 | 4528 |
| 26694 VENABLE LI | 7590 04/08/200 P | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 343 | 85 | OH, TAYLOR V | | |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20043-9998 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1625 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/08/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/536,801 EASTHAM ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Taylor Victor Oh -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 5/27/05. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SE/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/07, 5/05. 6) Other: Office Action Summary Part of Paner No /Mail Date 20080402

Art Unit: 1625

The Status of Claims:

Claims 1-28 are pending.

Claims 1-28 are rejected.

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-28 are under consideration in this Office Action.

Priority

 It is noted that this application is a 371 of PCT/GB03/04679(10/31/03), which has a foreign priority document, United Kingdom 0228018.8(11/30/2002).

Drawings

None.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the phrase "a bidentate phosphine of general formula (I)" is recited.

The expression is vague and indefinite because there is no description of the "bidentate phosphine of general formula (I)" in the claim. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1625

In claims 1,4, and 19, the terms "general" and "derivative" are recited. The expression is vague and indefinite because the specification does not elaborate what is meant by those terms. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

In claims 1, 4,24-25, the phrases "chemically treating the said branched (iso) product to produce the corresponding lactate or the acid of formula II", "carrying out a treatment step on the said linear(n) product 1-acetoxy CH₂CH₂C(O)OR²⁸ to produce the 3-hydroxy propanoate ester or acid of formula(III)", "by treatment of the branched (ISO) product" and "by treatment of the linear (n) product" are recited. The expression is vague and indefinite because the skilled artisan in the art is unable to figure out how the said branched (iso) product or the said linear (n) product can be chemically converted into the corresponding product (lactate) or the acid of formula II. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

In claim 3, the chemical term "Het" is recited. The expression is vague and indefinite because the skilled artisan in the art is unable to figure out what kind of "Het" can be for the R^1 to R^{18} and R^{19} to R^{27} groups. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

In claim 3, the phrases " one or more substituents selected from hydrogen, lower alkyl ------ or C(O)SR²⁷ or" and "selected from hydrogen, lower alkyl ------ or NR⁴⁶R⁴⁷R⁴⁸" is recited. The expression is vague and indefinite because the Markush type of the phrase "selected from" needs the close ended expression "and" in the end instead of "or". Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/536,801

Art Unit: 1625

In claim 3, the phrase

44

Q¹, Q² and Q³ (when present) each independently represent phosphorous, arsenic or antimony and in the latter two cases references to phosphine or phosphorous above are amended accordingly.

"is recited. The expression is vague and indefinite because the skilled artisan in the art is unable to figure out what is meant by the phrase; particularly, in the case when Q1,Q2, or Q3 is not present. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

In claims 3, 9 and 11, the terms " comprising ", "containing" are recited. The expression is vague and indefinite because these terms would mean that there are some additional components besides a bridging group and a hydroxyl group; the skilled artisan in the art is unable to figure out what else are present in the corresponding compound. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

Regarding claim 19, the phrase "for example" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

In claims 19 and 23, the phrases "other suitable polymers or copolymers known to those skilled in the art ", "also known as" are recited. The expression is vague and indefinite because the skilled artisan in the art is unable to figure out what they represent the corresponding compounds; these terms are improper to use in the claim languages. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1625

Regarding claims 10 and 19, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claims 24-28 provide for the use of the catalyst, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1625

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Drent et al (EP0495548) in view of Tiaden et al (US 6.307.065).

Drent et al discloses the process for the carbonylation of an olefin in the following (see abstract page):

The invention relates to a process for the carbonylation of oleffins in which process an olefin is reacted with carbon monoxide in the presence of an atcohol or water and of a catalyst system, obtainable by combining:

(a) a metal of Group VIII or a compound thereof and

(b) a bidentate phosphine, arsine and/or stibine derivative, wherein as bidentate (b) a compound is selected having the general formula

R1R2-M1-R-M2-R3R4 1

wherein M¹ and M² are independently P. As or Sb, R is a disalent organic bridging group with at least 2 carbon atoms in the bridge, and R¹-R¹ represent the same or different optionally substituted tertiary alkyl groups. The invention further relates to a catalyst system suitable for this process.

Art Unit: 1625

Table I (Cont.)

| Example Catalyst components | | yst components | Alkanol, etc. | Pressure (bar) | | | Temperature |
|-----------------------------|------|---|---------------|----------------|----|-------|-------------|
| No | | (nsol) | (wl) | olefin | CO | other | (*c) |
| XII | 0.25 | palladiumacetate | 20 methanol | vinylacetate | | | 75 |
| | 0.6 | 1,3-bis(di-tert. butylphosphino)- propane | 40 diglyme | (20 ml) | 40 | | |
| | 0.5 | tert.butylsulpho- nic acid | | | | | |

(see page 8 ,table I).

Table II (Cont.)

| Example No | Reaction rate (mol/gat.h) | Product selectivity | |
|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----|
| XII | 200 | 1-acetoxy methyl propionate | 40 |
| | | 2-acetoxy methyl | 40 |
| | | propionate | 60 |

(see page 11, table II)

The amount of catalyst used in the process is not critical. Good results are obtained when the amount of catalyst used in the process is not critical. Good results are obtained when the amount is on the range of 10⁻⁷ to 10⁻⁷ gat per mote of teltrinic doubtle bond to be carbonylated. Proterably this amount is in the range of 10⁻⁵ to 5.10⁻⁷ gat per mote.

(see page 3 ,lines 29-31).

The carbon monoxide required for the reaction may be supplied in substantially pure form, or contaminated with in general minor amounts of inert compounds such as nitrogen, hydrogen and the like. The presence of sulptur containing contaminants such as COS or some metal compounds e.g. metal is carbonyl compounds, should be avoided.

Hydrogen or a hydrogen containing gas may be present as a diluent for the carbon monoxide and other gaseous reactants. The pressure at which the hydrogen is supplied may vary, but is usually not more than that of the CO parial pressure.

Art Unit: 1625

(see page 4, lines 11-18).

The instant invention, however, differs from the prior art in that the part of the bidentate is an aryl compound and the products of the reaction are separated by distillation.

Tjaden et al discloses the process of producing epsilon caprolactones and /or esters thereof in the followings (see from col. 1, line 52 to col. 2, line 3):

This invention also relates to processes for producing one or more substituted or unsubstituted epsilon caprolactores, e.g., epsilon caprolactone, and/or hydrates and/or esters thereof which comprise: (a) subjecting one or more substituted or unsubstituted alkadienes, e.g., butadiene, to hydrocarbonylation in the presence of a hydrocarbonylation catalyst, e.g., a metal-organophosphorus ligand complex catalyst, to produce one or more substituted or unsubstituted penten-1-ols; and (b) subjecting said one or more substituted or unsubstituted penten-1-ols to carbonylation in the presence of a carbonylation catalyst, e.g., a metalorganophosphorus ligand complex catalyst, to produce said one or more substituted or unsubstituted epsilon caprolactones and/or hydrates and/or esters thereof. The hydrocarbonylation reaction conditions in step (a) and the carbonylation reaction conditions in step (b) may be the same or

different. The hydrocarbonylation catalyst in step (a) and the carbonylation catalyst in step (b) may be the same or different.

Furthermore, other olefinic starting materials include 1,3-dienes, vinyl acetate, 3butenyl acetate, vinyl propionate (see col. 18, lines 52-67).

Art Unit: 1625

Examples of suitable bidentate phosphine ligands according to the invention are 1,1'-bis (diphenylphosphino)

ferrocene; 1,1'-bis(diisopropylphosphino)ferrocene; 1,1'-bis (diisobutylphosphino)ferrocene; 1,1'-bis (dipropylphosphino)ferrocene; 1,1'-bis (dievelohexylphosphino)ferrocene; 1,1'-bis (isopropylcyclohexylphosphino)ferrocene; 1,1'-bis (diett butylphosphino)ferrocene; 1-(diisopropylphosphino)1'-(phenylisopropylphosphino)ferrocene; 1,1'-bis(di-2-thiophenylphosphino)ferrocene; 1-(diisopropylphosphino)-1'-(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene; 1,1'-bis (isopropylphenylphosphino)ferrocene; 1,1'-bis (di-2-thiophenylphosphino)ferrocene; and 1,1'-bis (di-2-thiophenylphosphino)ferrocene.

(see from col. 24 ,line 66 to col. 25, line 10).

EXAMPLE 32

A 100 milliliter overhead stirred high pressure reactor was charged with 0.28 mmol palladium(II) acetate, 0.55 negol of bis(2,2'-diphenylphosphinomethyl)biphenyl, 2.7 mmol methane sulfonic acid, 2 milliliters of water, 1.5 milliliters of 3-pentenol, 24 milliliters of 1,4-dioxane, and 1 milliliters of diglyme as internal standard. The reactor was pressurized with 10 psi carbon monoxide, heated to 130° C., and then pressurized to 1500 psi carbon monoxide. Samples of the reaction mixture were taken at time zero and after 2 hours, and then analyzed.by gas chromatography At the end of the reaction (2 hours), the gases were vented and the reaction mixture drained. Details of the reaction are set out in Table E.

(see col. 36, lines 12-25).

Art Unit: 1625

Recovery and purification of unsaturated alcohols may be by any appropriate means, and may include distillation, phase separation, extraction, precipitation, absorption, crystallization, membrane separation, derivative formation and other suitable means. For example, a crude reaction product can be subjected to a distillation-separation at atmospheric or reduced pressure through a packed distillation column. Reactive distillation may be useful in conduct-

ing the hydrocarbonylation reaction.

(see col. 20 ,lines 52-60).

Drent et al discloses expressly the process for the carbonylation of the vinyl acetate in the presence of the alcohol and the catalyst system containing palladium and the bidentate; similarly, Tjaden et al. does disclose the process fooor the carbonylation of the vinyl acetate in the presence of the alcohol and the catalyst system containing the palladium and the bi(2,2'-diphenylphospinomethyl)biphenyl bidentate (see col. 36, lines 12-25). Furthermore, the reactive distillation may be useful in conducting the hydrocarbonylation reaction. Therefore, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan in the art to be motivated to use. Tjaden's et al bi(2,2'-diphenylphospinomethyl)biphenyl as an alternative in the Drent et al. process. This is because both processes are commonly involved in the carbonylation process using the same palladium metal. and the similar bidentates; the skilled artisan in the art would expect such a manipulation to be successful and feasible as guidance shown in the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taylor Victor Oh whose telephone number is 571-272-0689. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/536,801

Art Unit: 1625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on 571-272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Taylor Victor Oh, MSD,LAC Primary Examiner Art Unit :1625

/Taylor Victor Oh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625 4/3/08